REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge receipt of the Examiner's Office Action dated

April 17, 2007. This Office Action rejected all claims pending. Specifically, all claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 21, 22 and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,633,914 issued to Bayeh et al. (Bayeh). Claims 31 and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Bayeh. Claims 23, 24, 29, 30, 34, and 35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Bayeh in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art. In light of the foregoing amendments and following remarks, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner's reconsideration and reexamination of all pending claims.

Applicants have amended independent Claims 21 and 28 to recite features which are not taught or fairly suggested in the sections of Bayeh cited in the last Office Action. Specifically, independent Claim 21 has been amended to recite that the first and second computer systems are configured to transmit first and second requests, respectively, to the server, via first and second session based network connections, respectively. Independent Claim 28 has been amended along similar lines. Specifically, independent Claim 28 now recites that an object manager receives first and second requests from first and second client computer systems, respectively, via first and second session based network connections, respectively. Support for these added limitations can be found within the Specification, for example, on page 3, lines 15-16. Indeed, the last full paragraph on page 8 the Specification outlines one of the benefits of employing session based network

connection between thin clients and servers executing an object manager. Specifically, the last full paragraph on page 8 indicates that instead of clicking a submit button at the end of a complete screen of an interface and waiting for approval from a sessionless web server, users of the thin client can benefit from immediate responses to any data they entered; the thin client is already connected to a live session on the server, and the user interface applies field-level validation whenever the user hits a tab button their keyboard. Applicants submit that the sections of Bayeh cited in the office action, does not teach or fairly suggest employing session based network connections between thin clients and object managers executing on a server. As such, Applicants submit that independent Claims 21 and 28 are patentably distinguishable over the cited sections of Bayeh.

The remaining claims are patentably distinguishable over the cited sections of Bayeh since the remaining claims depend directly or indirectly from independent Claims 21 and 28. Accordingly, Applicants submit that all claims currently pending are in condition for allowance.

<u>CONCLUSION</u>

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, the application and the claims therein are believed to be in condition for allowance without any further examination and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 512-439-5093.

If any extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are required in order for this submission to be considered timely, Applicant hereby petitions for such extensions. Applicant also hereby authorizes that any fees due for such extensions or any other fee associated with this submission, as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17, be charged to Deposit Account 502306.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric A. Stephenson

Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 38,321

Telephone: (512) 439-5093 Facsimile: (512) 439-5099